From:	Fran D. Mancia
To:	Keith, Kirsten
Cc:	Brenda M. Narayan
Subject:	Fwd: AB 252 (Ridley Thomas) - UUT Streaming Video Prohibition/ Infringes on Local Control - Menlo Park
Date:	Friday, March 31, 2017 3:49:27 PM
Attachments:	032017 MuniServices Policy Update - AB 252 (Ridey-Thomas) - Infringes on Local Control, Voter Decisions - Prohbits All Taxes for Video Streaming Services - Fact Sheet (PDF).pdf ATT00001.htm 032017 MuniServices Policy Update - AB 252 (Ridey-Thomas) - Infringes on Local Control, Voter Decisions - Prohbits All Taxes for Video Streaming Services - Fact Sheet (PDF).docx ATT00002.htm

---

Hi Kirsten,
It was great to see you today at the Rev and Tax meeting. As a follow up to our discussion on AB 252, I have included information on AB 252 and a sample letter for your use and consideration. AB 252 impacts the City of Menlo Park directly as your city UUT ordinance is specific to video programming and if passed in its current form the bill will negatively impact city revenues from UUT.

We would appreciate having the City joining the opposition coalition by sending a letter to Mr. Ridley Thomas and others listed in the sample materials and myself as well.

I am available any time to discuss further!

Thank you,

Fran

From: "Brenda M. Narayan" <Brenda.Narayan@MuniServices.com>
To: "'amcintyre@menlopark.org'" <amcintyre@menlopark.org>
Subject: AB 252 (Ridley Thomas) - UUT Streaming Video Prohibition/ Infringes on Local Control - Menlo Park

Hi Alex,

I wanted to follow up and personally reach out especially since the City's UUT is a target of AB 252 because the ordinance is specific to video. The bill in itself proposes to impose a five year moratorium on the ability of local governments to collect revenues from Video Streaming Services. Another major concern is that AB 252 infringes on local control and especially local voter approved revenues. The Legislature by simply using verbiage along the lines of "a matter of statewide concern" and citing the Constitution can use legislation to over-ride the will of local voters.

MuniServices is encouraging *all* cities even those without a UUT to oppose

AB 252 because the bill sets a bad precedent and overrides local voter-approved revenues. The League and CSAC are opposing along with several cities. For perspective, the author's district city, Culver City, is also opposing the bill, and has the highest UUT in the State. The bill could be considered in Assembly Revenue and Taxation soon and as early as this Monday; this committee meets every Monday.

I've attached the latest policy update (in Word as well) that includes a sample letter that could be used if the City decides to oppose the bill.

With appreciation,
Brenda

Brenda Narayan
MuniServices
916.261.5147 (p/t)
http://www.muniservices.com/services/government-relations/